89 6080 Station

PATENT-COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

FOR FURTHER ACTIO	SeeNotificationofTransmittalofInternational Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)			
International filing date (de	y/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)		
03 September 1998 (03.09.1998)	08 September 1997 (08.09.1997)		
ntional classification and IPC				
OSAKA GAS CO)., LTD.			
nation report has been prepa cording to Article 36.	red by this Inter	national Preliminary Examining Authority		
4 sheets, inclu	ding this cover	sheet.		
this report and/or sheets con Administrative Instructions u	taining rectifice ander the PCT).	tion, claims and/or drawings which have been sations made before this Authority (see Rule		
ng to the following items:				
I Basis of the report				
opinion with regard to nove	elty, inventive s	tep and industrial applicability		
ntion				
Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
VI Certain documents cited VII Certain defects in the international application				
				VIII Certain observations on the international application
Date	of completion (of this ranget		
1999)	16 June 1999 (16.06.1999)			
Auth	Authorized officer			
Teleş	Telephone No. (81-3) 3581 1101			
	International filing date (date	International filing date (day/month/year) 03 September 1998 (03.09.1998) ational classification and IPC OSAKA GAS CO., LTD. Date of completion on the international application Date of completion of the international application Date of completion of the international application Date of completion of Authorized officer Authorized officer		

International application No.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

PCT/JP98/03962

_		of the repo	
1.	With	regard to th	ne elements of the international application:*
	\boxtimes	the interna	ational application as originally filed
		the descrip	ption:
		pages	, as originally filed
		pages	
		pages	, filed with the letter of
	\Box	the eleien-	
	ш	the claims	
		pages	, as originally filed
		pages	, as amended (together with any statement under Article 19
		pages	, filed with the demand
	_	Puges —	, filed with the letter of
		the drawin	ngs:
		pages	, as originally filed
		pages	, filed with the demand
		pages	, filed with the letter of
		the sequence	e listing part of the description:
		pages	•
		pages	, as originally filed
		pages	, filed with the letter of, filed with the demand
2.	uic ii	nemanonai a	ne language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
			ge of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).
	Ħ		ge of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
	Ħ		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
•		or 55.3).	age of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/
3. 	prelin	mmary exam	any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international nination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:
	H		in the international application in written form.
	H		her with the international application in computer readable form.
	H		subsequently to this Authority in written form.
	\square		subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.
		The stater internation	ment that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the lal application as filed has been furnished.
		The statem	nent that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has shed.
4.		The amend	lments have resulted in the cancellation of:
		the the	description, pages
		the	claims, Nos.
		1 1	drawings, sheets/fig
5.		This report beyond the	has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**
	and 70	s report as).17).	ts which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rule 70.16
۰ +	4ny re	placement s	theet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.
_			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

PCT/JP98/03962

V.	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
	citations and explanations supporting such statement

tement			
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-11	YES
	Claims		NO NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	3,5-11	YES
	Claims	1,2,4	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-11	YES
	Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations

Claims 1, 2 and 4

Document 1 [JP, 8-275944, A (Nihon Dempa Kogyo Co., Ltd.), 22 October, 1996 (22.10.96), full text, Figs. 1-3 (Family: none)] describes a focusing longitudinal wave ultrasonic probe provided with a matching material having an input end face in close contact with the concave surface of a curved piezoelectric element and an output end face adapted to the surface of a test piece, wherein the acoustic impedance of the matching material is matched with that of the test piece.

Document 2 [JP, 4-340464, A (Nissan Motor Co., Ltd.), 26 November, 1992 (26.11.92), full text, Figs 1-11 (Family: none)] describes that a polymer material as a test piece is ultrasonically inspected by an ultrasonic probe.

Document 3 [JP, 57-162591, A (Yokogawa Electric Corp.), 6 October, 1982 (06.10.82), claims, Figs. 3-4 (Family: none)] describes the use of a polymeric piezoelectric material as a piezoelectric element, and an ultrasonic probe, the matching material of which is matched with the piezoelectric element in acoustic impedance.

Since documents 1-3 are concerned with ultrasonic inspection, it is obvious to a person skilled in the art, to combine their technical matters.

Claims 3 and 5-7

Documents 1, 2 and 3 respectively describe the above techniques, but neither describe nor suggest that the acoustic impedance of a matching material is matched with both the acoustic impedances of a piezoelectric element and a test piece.

Claims 8-11

Document 4 [JP, 9-210971, A (Kubota Corp.), 15 August, 1997 (15.08.97), full text, Figs. 1-4 (Family: none)] is a document showing the general state of art in this technical field and describes a technique concerning flaw discrimination using two gates for detecting ultrasonic reflection echoes. However, it neither describes nor suggests the flaw evaluation of a polymer material, using a first gate for detecting the flaw echo of a fused wire section used as a predetermined reflection source and a second gate for detecting the flaw of a fusing section.